Lecture 12 – ME6402, Spring 2025 *Time-Varying Systems Continued* 

#### Maegan Tucker

February 13, 2025



#### Goals of Lecture 12

 Lyapunov theory in time-varying systems

Additional Reading

Khalil Chapter 4.6, 8.3

These slides are derived from notes created by Murat Arcak and licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike 4.0 International License.

#### Comparison Functions

<u>Definition</u>: A continuous function  $\alpha : [0,\infty) \to [0,\infty)$  is <u>class- $\mathcal{K}$ </u> if it is zero at zero and strictly increasing. It is <u>class- $\mathcal{K}_{\infty}$ </u> if, in addition,  $\alpha(r) \to \infty$  as  $r \to \infty$ .

A continuous function  $\beta : [0,\infty) \times [0,\infty) \to [0,\infty)$  is <u>class- $\mathcal{KL}$ </u> if:

- **1**  $\beta(\cdot,s)$  is class- $\mathcal{K}$  for every fixed s,
- 2  $\beta(r, \cdot)$  is decreasing and  $\beta(r, s) \to 0$  as  $s \to \infty$ , for every fixed r.

 $\begin{array}{ll} \underline{\mathsf{Example:}} & \alpha(r) = \tan^{-1}(r) \text{ is class-}\mathcal{K}, \ \alpha(r) = r^c, c > 0 \text{ is class-} \\ \overline{\mathcal{K}_{\infty}}, \ \beta(r,s) = r^c e^{-s} \text{ is class-} \mathcal{KL}. \end{array}$ 

#### Comparison Functions

<u>Proposition</u>: If  $V(\cdot)$  is positive definite, then we can find class- $\mathcal{K}$  functions  $\alpha_1(\cdot)$  and  $\alpha_2(\cdot)$  such that

 $\alpha_1(|x|) \leq V(x) \leq \alpha_2(|x|).$ 

If  $V(\cdot)$  is radially unbounded, we can choose  $\alpha_1(\cdot)$  to be class-  $\mathcal{K}_\infty.$ 

 $\underbrace{ \begin{array}{ll} \underline{\mathsf{Example:}} & V(x) = x^T P x \quad P = P^T > 0 \\ \alpha_1(|x|) = \lambda_{\min}(P) |x|^2 \quad \alpha_2(|x|) = \lambda_{\max}(P) |x|^2. \end{array} }$ 

## Stability Definitions

► x = 0 is uniformly stable if there exists a class- $\mathcal{K}$  function  $\alpha(\cdot)$ and a constant c > 0 such that

 $|x(t)| \leq \alpha(|x(t_0)|)$ 

for all  $t \ge t_0$  and for every initial condition such that  $|x(t_0)| \le c$ .

• uniformly asymptotically stable if there exists a class- $\mathcal{KL} \ \beta(\cdot, \cdot)$  s.t.

$$|x(t)| \le \beta(|x(t_0)|, t-t_0)$$

for all  $t \ge t_0$  and for every initial condition such that  $|x(t_0)| \le c$ .

• globally uniformly asymptotically stable if  $c = \infty$ .

• uniformly exponentially stable if  $\beta(r,s) = kre^{-\lambda s}$  for some  $k, \lambda > 0$ :

$$|x(t)| \le k |x(t_0)| e^{-\lambda(t-t_0)}$$

for all  $t \ge t_0$  and for every initial condition such that  $|x(t_0)| \le c$ .

# Stability of Time-Varying Systems

k > 1 allows for overshoot:



#### Þ

uniformly exponentially stable if  $\beta(r,s) = kre^{-\lambda s}$  for some  $k, \lambda > 0$ :

 $|x(t)| \le k|x(t_0)|e^{-\lambda(t-t_0)}$ 

for all  $t \ge t_0$  and for every initial condition such that  $|x(t_0)| \le c$ .

Example: Consider the following system, defined for t > -1:

$$\dot{x} = \frac{-x}{1+t}$$



Example: Consider the following system, defined for t > -1:  $\dot{x} = \frac{-x}{1+t}$  $x(t) = x(t_0)e^{\int_{t_0}^{t} \frac{-1}{1+s}ds} = x(t_0)e^{\log(1+s)|_t^{t_0}}$  $= x(t_0)e^{\log\frac{1+t_0}{1+t}} = x(t_0)\frac{1+t_0}{1+t}$  $|x(t)| \leq |x(t_0)| \implies$  the origin is uniformly stable with  $\alpha(r) = r$ . The origin is also asymptotically stable, but not uniformly, because the convergence rate depends on  $t_0$ :

$$x(t) = x(t_0) \frac{1+t_0}{1+t_0+(t-t_0)} = \frac{x(t_0)}{1+\frac{t-t_0}{1+t_0}}$$



Example:

$$\dot{x} = -x^3 \quad \Rightarrow \quad x(t) = \operatorname{sgn}(x(t_0)) \sqrt{\frac{x_0^2}{1 + 2(t - t_0)x_0^2}}$$

x = 0 is asymptotically stable but not exponentially stable because  $1/\sqrt{t}$  decays more slowly than any exponential.

#### Exponential Stability

<u>Proposition</u>: x = 0 is exponentially stable for  $\dot{x} = f(x)$ , f(0) = 0, if and only if  $A \triangleq \frac{\partial f}{\partial x}\Big|_{x=0}$  is Hurwitz, that is  $\Re \lambda_i(A) < 0 \quad \forall i$ . Although strict inequality in  $\Re \lambda_i(A) < 0$  is not necessary for

asymptotic stability (see example above where A = 0), it *is* necessary for exponential stability.

● If  $W_1(x) \le V(t,x) \le W_2(x)$  and  $\dot{V}(t,x) \triangleq \frac{\partial V}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial V}{\partial x}f(t,x) \le 0$  for some positive definite functions  $W_1(\cdot)$ ,  $W_2(\cdot)$  on a domain *D* that includes the origin, then x = 0 is uniformly stable.



Khalil, Section 4.5

• If  $W_1(x) \leq V(t,x) \leq W_2(x)$  and  $\dot{V}(t,x) \triangleq \frac{\partial V}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial V}{\partial x}f(t,x) \leq 0$  for some positive definite functions  $W_1(\cdot)$ ,  $W_2(\cdot)$  on a domain *D* that includes the origin, then x = 0 is uniformly stable.

- ② If, further,  $\dot{V}(t,x) \le -W_3(x)$  ∀ $x \in D$  for some positive definite  $W_3(\cdot)$ , then x = 0 is uniformly asymptotically stable.
- **3** If  $D = \mathbb{R}^n$  and  $W_1(\cdot)$  is radially unbounded, then x = 0 is globally uniformly asymptotically stable.

(a) If 
$$W_i(x) = k_i |x|^a$$
,  $i = 1, 2, 3$ , for some constants  $k_1, k_2, k_3, a > 0$ , then  $x = 0$  is uniformly exponentially stable.

#### Lecture 12 Notes - ME6402, Spring 2025





 $\begin{array}{l} \underline{\mathsf{Proof:}}\\ \bullet & \alpha_1(|x|) \leq W_1(x) \leq V(t,x) \leq W_2(x) \leq \alpha_2(|x|) \\ & \dot{V} \leq 0 \Rightarrow V(x(t),t) \leq V(x(t_0),t_0) \\ & \Rightarrow \alpha_1(|x(t)|) \leq \alpha_2(|x(t_0)|) \\ & \Rightarrow |x(t)| \leq \alpha(|x(t_0)|) \triangleq (\alpha_1^{-1} \circ \alpha_2)(|x(t_0)|). \end{array}$   $\begin{array}{l} \textit{Note:} \text{ The inverse of a class-}\mathcal{K} \text{ function is well defined locally} \\ (\textit{globally if } \mathcal{K}_\infty) \text{ and is class-}\mathcal{K}. \text{ The composition of two class-}\mathcal{K} \end{array}$ 

functions is also class- $\mathcal{K}$ .

If  $W_1(x) \leq V(t,x) \leq W_2(x)$  and  $\dot{V}(t,x) \triangleq \frac{\partial V}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial V}{\partial x}f(t,x) \leq 0$  for some positive definite functions  $W_1(\cdot), W_2(\cdot)$ on a domain D that includes the origin, then x = 0 is uniformly stable.

- ② If, further, V(t,x) ≤ -W<sub>3</sub>(x) ∀x ∈ D for some positive definite W<sub>3</sub>(·), then x = 0 is uniformly asymptotically stable.
- If D = ℝ<sup>n</sup> and W<sub>1</sub>(·) is radially unbounded, then x = 0 is globally uniformly asymptotically stable.
- () If  $W_i(x) = k_i |x|^a$ , i = 1, 2, 3, for some constants  $k_1, k_2, k_3, a > 0$ , then x = 0 is uniformly exponentially stable.

Proof:  
2 
$$\dot{V} \leq -W_3(x) \leq -\alpha_3(|x|) \leq -\alpha_3(\alpha_2^{-1}(V)) \triangleq -\gamma(V)$$
  
 $\frac{d}{dt}V(t,x(t)) \leq -\gamma(V(t,x(t)))$   
Let  $y(t)$  be the solution of  $\dot{y} = -\gamma(y), y(t_0) = V(t_0,x(t_0))$ . Then,  
 $V(t,x(t)) \leq y(t)$ .

Since  $\dot{y} = -\gamma(y)$  is a first order differential equation and  $-\gamma(y) < 0$  when y > 0, we conclude monotone convergence of y(t) to 0:

$$y(t) = \beta(y(t_0), t - t_0) \implies V(t, x(t)) \leq \beta(\underbrace{V(t_0, x(t_0))}_{\leq \alpha_2(|x(t_0)|)}, t - t_0)$$

$$\Rightarrow \alpha_1(|x(t)|) \leq \beta(\alpha_2(|x(t_0)|), t-t_0)$$

$$\Rightarrow |x(t)| \le \beta(|x(t_0)|, t-t_0) \\ \triangleq \alpha_1^{-1}(\beta(\alpha_2(|x(t_0)|), t-t_0))$$

 $\label{eq:rescaled} \begin{array}{l} \label{eq:rescaled} \begin{tabular}{ll} \begin{tabular}{ll$ 

- ② If, further, V(t,x) ≤ -W<sub>3</sub>(x) ∀x ∈ D for some positive definite W<sub>3</sub>(·), then x = 0 is uniformly asymptotically stable.
- If D = ℝ<sup>n</sup> and W<sub>1</sub>(·) is radially unbounded, then x = 0 is globally uniformly asymptotically stable.
- () If  $W_i(x) = k_i |x|^a$ , i = 1, 2, 3, for some constants  $k_1, k_2, k_3, a > 0$ , then x = 0 is uniformly exponentially stable.



#### Proof:

 $\ \ \, \hbox{ If } \alpha_1(\cdot) \ \hbox{ is class } \mathcal{K}_\infty \ \hbox{ then } \alpha_1^{-1}(\cdot) \ \hbox{ exists globally above. }$ 

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{O} \quad \alpha_{3}(|x|) &= k_{3}|x|^{a}, \ \alpha_{2}(|x|) = k_{2}|x|^{a} \\ \Rightarrow \gamma(V) &= \alpha_{3}(\alpha_{2}^{-1}(V)) = k_{3}\left(\left(\frac{V}{k_{2}}\right)^{\frac{1}{a}}\right)^{a} = \frac{k_{3}}{k_{2}}V \\ \dot{y} &= -\frac{k_{3}}{k_{2}}y \ \Rightarrow \ y(t) = y(t_{0})e^{-(k_{2}/k_{2})(t-t_{0})} \\ \boldsymbol{\beta}(r,s) &= re^{-(k_{3}/k_{2})s} \ \Rightarrow \ \tilde{\boldsymbol{\beta}}(r,s) = \left(\frac{k_{2}}{k_{1}}r^{a}e^{-(k_{3}/k_{2})s}\right)^{\frac{1}{a}} = \left(\frac{k_{2}}{k_{1}}\right)^{\frac{1}{a}}re^{-\frac{k_{3}a}{k_{2}}s}. \end{aligned}$$

 $\label{eq:rescaled} \begin{array}{l} \label{eq:rescaled} \begin{tabular}{ll} \begin{tabular}{ll$ 

- ② If, further, V(t,x) ≤ -W<sub>3</sub>(x) ∀x ∈ D for some positive definite W<sub>3</sub>(·), then x = 0 is uniformly asymptotically stable.
- If D = ℝ<sup>n</sup> and W<sub>1</sub>(·) is radially unbounded, then x = 0 is globally uniformly asymptotically stable.
- () If  $W_i(x) = k_i |x|^a$ , i = 1, 2, 3, for some constants  $k_1, k_2, k_3, a > 0$ , then x = 0 is uniformly exponentially stable.

#### Example:

$$\dot{x} = -g(t)x^3$$
 where  $g(t) \ge 1$  for all  $t$   
 $V(x) = \frac{1}{2}x^2 \Rightarrow \dot{V}(t,x) = -g(t)x^4 \le -x^4 \triangleq W_3(x)$   
Globally uniformly asymptotically stable but not exponentially

stable. Take  $g(t) \equiv 1$  as a special case.

Example: 
$$\dot{x} = A(t)x$$
. Take  $V(x) = x^T P(t)x$ :  
 $\dot{V}(x) = x^T \dot{P}(t)x + \dot{x}^T P(t)x + x^T P(t)\dot{x}$ 

$$= x^T (\dot{P} + A^T P + PA)x$$
 $\triangleq -Q(t)$ 
If  $k_1 I \le P(t) \le k_2 I$  and  $k_3 I \le Q(t)$ ,  $k_1, k_2, k_3 > 0$ , then  
 $k_1 |x|^2 \le V(t, x) \le k_2 |x|^2$  and  $\dot{V}(t, x) \le -k_3 |x|^2$ 

 $\Rightarrow$  global uniform exponential stability.

#### A Lasalle-Krasovskii-Type Result

#### What if $W_3(\cdot)$ is only semidefinite?

Lasalle-Krasovskii Invariance Principle is <u>not</u> applicable to timevarying systems. Instead, use the following (weaker) result:  $\underline{\text{Theorem:}} \quad \text{Suppose } W_1(x) \leq V(t,x) \leq W_2(x)$  $\frac{\partial V}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial V}{\partial x} f(t,x) \leq -W_3(x),$ where  $W_1(\cdot), W_2(\cdot)$  are positive definite and  $W_3(\cdot)$  is positive

semidefinite. Suppose, further,  $W_1(\cdot)$  is radially unbounded, f(t,x) is locally Lipschitz in x and bounded in t, and  $W_3(\cdot)$  is  $C^1$ . Then

 $W_3(x(t)) \to 0$  as  $t \to \infty$ .

<u>Note</u>: This proves convergence to  $S = \{x : W_3(x) = 0\}$  whereas the Invariance Principle, when applicable, guarantees convergence to the largest invariant set within *S*. Lecture 12 Notes – ME6402, Spring 2025 Khalil, Section 8.3

#### Example:

$$\dot{x}_1 = -x_1 + w(t)x_2$$
$$\dot{x}_2 = -w(t)x_1$$

 $V(t,x) = \frac{1}{2}x_1^2 + \frac{1}{2}x_2^2 \Rightarrow \dot{V}(t,x) = -x_1^2$ . If w(t) is bounded in t then the theorem above implies  $x_1(t) \to 0$  as  $t \to \infty$ , but no guarantee about the convergence of  $x_2(t)$  to zero.

By contrast, if  $w(t) \equiv w \neq 0$ , then we can use the Invariance Principle and conclude  $x_2(t) \rightarrow 0$  (show this).

### Barbalat's Lemma

Barbalat's Lemma (used in proving the theorem above): If  $\lim_{t\to\infty} \int_0^t \phi(\tau) d\tau$  exists and is finite, and  $\phi(\cdot)$  is uniformly continuous then  $\phi(t) \to 0$  as  $t \to \infty$ .

Uniform continuity in Barbalat's Lemma can't be relaxed:

Example: Let  $\phi(t)$  be a sequence of pulses centered at k =

$$1,2,3,\ldots$$
 with amplitude = k, width =  $1/k^3$ , then

$$\int_0^{\infty} \phi(t) dt = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k^2} < \infty \quad \text{but} \quad \phi(t) \neq 0.$$

Uniformly continuous means: For every  $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists  $\delta > 0$  such that  $\forall t_1, t_2 \ |t_1 - t_2| \le \delta \Rightarrow |\phi(t_1) - \phi(t_2)| \le \varepsilon$ . Boundedness of the derivative  $\dot{\phi}(t)$  implies uniform continuity.



#### Proof of the theorem:

$$\begin{aligned} \boldsymbol{\alpha}_1(|\boldsymbol{x}|) &\leq V(t, \boldsymbol{x}) \leq \boldsymbol{\alpha}_2(|\boldsymbol{x}|) \quad \boldsymbol{\alpha}_1 \in \mathcal{K}_{\infty} \\ \Rightarrow |\boldsymbol{x}(t)| \leq \boldsymbol{\alpha}_1^{-1}(\boldsymbol{\alpha}_2(|\boldsymbol{x}(t_0)|)) \end{aligned}$$

x(t) bounded  $\Rightarrow \dot{x}(t) = f(t, x(t))$  is bounded  $\Rightarrow x(t)$  is uniformly continuous.

$$\begin{split} \dot{V}(t,x) &\leq -W_3(x(t)) \\ \Rightarrow V(x(T)) - V(x(t_0),t_0) \leq -\int_{t_0}^T W_3(x(t)) dt \\ \Rightarrow \int_{t_0}^\infty W_3(x(t)) dt \leq V(x(t_0),t_0) < \infty. \end{split}$$

Since  $W_3(\cdot)$  is  $C^1$ , it is uniformly continuous on the bounded domain where x(t) resides. So, by Barbalat's Lemma,  $W_3(x(t)) \rightarrow 0$  as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ .

Lecture 12 Notes - ME6402, Spring 2025

Theorem: Suppose  $W_1(x) < V(t,x) < W_2(x)$  $\frac{\partial V}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial V}{\partial x} f(t, x) \le -W_3(x),$ where  $W_1(\cdot), W_2(\cdot)$  are positive definite and  $W_3(\cdot)$  is positive semidefinite. Suppose, further,  $W_1(\cdot)$  is radially unbounded, f(t,x) is locally Lipschitz in x and bounded in t, and  $W_3(\cdot)$ is  $C^1$ . Then

 $W_3(x(t)) \to 0$  as  $t \to \infty$ .